

#### **Recent status of the GROWTH experiment**

**-Gamma-ray observations at the coastal area of Japan Sea -**

- **(1) Background**
- **(2) GROWTH experiment**
- **(3) Observational results** 
	- **1. properties of thundercloud gamma rays**
	- **2. relationship with lightning**
	- **3. photonuclear reaction in lightning**

#### **Harufumi TSUCHIYA1 on behalf of the GROWTH collaboration**

*GROWTH collaboration: T. Enoto2, Y. Wada3,4, Y. Furuta1, K. Nakazawa5 , T. Yuasa4, T. Matsumoto3, D. Umemoto4, K. Makishima3 1 JAEA, 2 Kyoto Univ. , 3 The Univ. of Tokyo, 4 RIKEN, 5 Nagoya univ.* 

*WRMISS in Tsuruga, Sep. 5, 2018*

## **Background**

#### **- Radiation enhancement associated with thunderstorms -**



#### **Background - How runaway electrons are produced in air? -**

Gurevich et al.,PLA 165(1992), Dwyer GRL (2003)



# **Background**

**- Radiation enhancement in winter season -**

Thundercloud

Observations of radiation enhancements only in winter seasons at the coastal area of Japan Sea (Torii+2002,2008, Tsuchiya+2007,2011)





How electrons are accelerated to relativistic energies in a dense terrestrial atmosphere?

**Extra** How those bursts are associated with lightning/ thunderclouds ?

How positrons and neutrons are produced in lightning and thunderclouds?

**External Properson** is triggered?

# **GROWTH experiment (-fy2014)**

Gamma Ray Observation of Winter Thunderclouds

**Observations at Kashiwazaki-Kariwa power plant** (PRL 2007, 2013; JGR 2011)



Start in 2006

**Mal, CsI, BGO scintillation detectors and** Monitoring posts

Low altitude of cloud base : < 1 km

Gamma-Ray Observation of GROWTH

Observations at high mountains (PRL 2009; PRD 2012)





# **GROWTH experiment (fy2015-)**

Gamma Ray Observation of Winter Thunderclouds

5.2.3 冬季観測 2016 Kanazawa, Komatsu, Suzu (AS of 2018)(Wada, Master thesis 2017)

 $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ Nal, CsI, BGO scintillation detectors + Raspberry Pi for downsizing system



# Observational results (1) General properties of long bursts

#### **1019 bursts**<br><sup>loikrura</sup> **10716<br>2016 2007**<br>3016 **2016 1010 Stories of long b**<br>
Kashiwazaki+Mt. Noikrura **10 10 <sup>20070106</sup> 40 Counts historie<br>Kashiwa Rushiwa s** historid **Counts histories of long bursts**

#### **30** Kashiwazaki+Mt. Noikrura

 Duration : a few tens of sec to a few minutes



## **Energy spectrum Long bursts vs TGFs**



Not corrected for detector response

RHESSI: 289 events(Dwyer&Smith,GRL 2005)

AGILE: 130 events (Tavani et al., PRL 2011)

GROWTH : 5 events Revised Tsuchiya et al., JGR 2011

**Waximum energy**  $TGF\sim$ 100 MeV GROWTH~20 MeV # of >1 MeV electrons  $TGF \sim 10^{16} - 10^{17}$  $GROWTH \sim 10^9 - 10^{11}$ 

- **M** Long bursts have been observed by airborne detectors, high-mountain ones as well as ground-based ones. They have never been observed by detectors onboard satellites (because primarily of moving of satellites)
- If It has been thought that long bursts are related to electrification of thunderclouds. We may observe them from the electrification region when it being "ON".



**I**n order to observe the whole cycle of a long burst, we need to prepare mapping observations such as the GROWTH one. Also air-shower experiments using many detectors would be suitable for those observations. Actually, several air-shower experiments have reported thunderstorms-related enhancements [ Tibet ASg group (Amenomori+, Proc.of ICRC2013), TA group (Abbasi+ PLA, 2017)]

Some groups have reported increases or decreases of muon flux during thunderstorms (Alexeenko+2002, Dorman+2003, Muraki+2004). So far, those muon variations have been observed only at high mountains. Observational results (2) Relationship between long bursts and lightning

## **Relation between a long burst and lightning**

*Termination of long bursts just prior to lightning* 

Y. Wada, G. S. Bowers, T. Enoto et al, GRL **45** 5700 (2018)

**• Simultaneous observations of gamma rays(GROWTH and GODAT), electric field (Kamogawa team) and LF (Morimoto team) were done**



## **Relation between a long burst and lightning**

*Termination of long bursts just prior to lightning* 

**LF network detected leader development of an IC\***



IC : Intra/Inter cloud discharge

### **Relation between a long burst and lightning**

*Termination of long bursts just prior to lightning* 



Y. Wada, G. S. Bowers, T. Enoto et al, GRL **45** 5700 (2018)

# Observational results (3) Photonuclear reactions in lightning

T. Enoto, Y. Wada, Y. Furuta, K. Nakazawa, T. Yuasa, K. Okuda, K. Makishima, M. Sato, Y. Sato, T. Nakano, D. Umemoto, H. Tsuchiya, Nature **551** (481) 2017

### **Lightning and neutron production**

 $\bigcirc$ 1970's-1990's: nuclear fusion  $D + D$  ->(2.45 MeV) + 3He "Positive" detections Shah+ Nature(1985), Shyam&Kaushik JGR (1999) Possibility of neutron production in lightning Libby & Lukens JGR (1973)

#### However,

DD Fusion : Not feasible in normal lightning environment

Babich+ JGR (2007) **Extremely intense electric field would be required for detectable neutron flux (1010-1015 n)**

 $\sqrt{2000}$ 's : Photonuclear reaction: γ (>10.5 MeV) + 14N  $\rightarrow$  n + 13N Clear detections of >10 MeV gamma rays from lightning

Much more feasible than fusion : Babich+ JGR (2007), Carlson+ JGR (2010)

#### **Short burst associated with lightning WITH A DURATION OF LASS CONDUCT AT A DISPOSE CONDUCT AT A DISPONE CONDUCT AT A DISPONE CONDUCT AT A DISPONE CON** recorded an intense radiation that lasted for about 200ms (Fig. 1). The radiation-monitoring stations operated by the power plant also

on February 6, 2017, 17:34:06, at Kashiwazaki station **subside after γ**<br>Στην αποτελεία από το προσωπικό από το προ recorded this flature 2017

- $\overline{a}$  Camma-ray afterglow  $(c_{\alpha}100 \text{ ms } 210 \text{ m})$ which would never happen during neutral operation) at the beginning normal operation  $\mathcal{N}$ 2. **Gamma-ray afterglow** (<~100 ms, <10 MeV)
	- 3. **Delayed annihilation gamma rays** (~minute, at 0.511 MeV)



### **light curves and energy spectra**



- Exponential decay constant of the sub-second afterglow is **radiation. a**, Photograph of the observation site. Yellow dashed circles  $\sim$  00 i  $\frac{1}{2}$ 11<del>0</del> errors in dictional countries with  $\sigma$ , recorded by detectors, recorded by detectors, recorded by detectors,  $\sigma$ ~56 ms of the neutron thermalization time.
- $\bullet$  Spootrum with a shorp qutoff at 10 MeV. Our radiation detectors (red) and the radiation-monitoring stations  $\alpha$  best-fit to be an exponential decay. See Methods of an exponential d • Spectrum with a sharp cutoff at 10 MeV spoot and made onalposton at to move • Spectrum with a sharp cutoff at 10 MeV



### **Photonuclear reactions triggered by lightning**









#### **Gamma rays from neutrons and positrons**





#### **Neutrons make the gamma-ray afterglow**



• Exponential decay constant of the sub-second afterglow is consistent with the theoretical prediction ~56 ms of the neutron thermalization tin  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  and the negative (' $\frac{1}{2}$ 10-ms-binned count-rate histories with ±1*σ* errors, recorded by detectors with the theoretical prediction ~56 ms of the neutron thermalization time.



#### **Neutrons make the gamma-ray afterglow**



- **•** Exponential decay constant of the sub-second afterglow is consistent with the theoretical prediction  $\sim$ 56 ms of the neutron thermalisation.  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ 10-ms-binned count-rate histories with ±1*σ* errors, recorded by detectors
- Spectrum with a sharp cutoff at 10 MeV is well explained by prompt gamma rays from atmospheric nitrogens and surrounding materials.  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$ section 'Initial flash' for details.

#### **Short-duration burst associated with lightning with a direction of the superior was detected was detected at a direction of the superior of the superior of th** recorded an intense radiation that lasted for about 200ms (Fig. 1). the radiation-monitoring stations operations operations operations operations operations operations operations

- 1. **Intensive initial spike** (<~a few milliseconds, exceeds 10 MeV) which would never happen during neutral operation) at the beginning normal operation  $\mathcal{L}$
- **line external posterior contract de la de la** 2. **Gamma-ray afterglow** (<~100 ms, <10 MeV)
	- 3. **Delayed annihilation gamma rays** (~minute, at 0.511 MeV)



**ØWe have confirmed that photonuclear reactions occur in a lightning discharge.** It is noted that Bowers et al., (GRL, 2017) also detected photonuclear neutron signals at the same coastal area of Japan sea.

Time structure of this event is consistent with that proposed by Rutjes et al. (GRL,2017).



- $\overline{M}$  This observation showed that radioactive isotopes such as <sup>13</sup>N and <sup>15</sup>O were produced.
- $\mathbf{M}$ <sup>14</sup>C would be also produced via <sup>14</sup>N(n, p)<sup>14</sup>C. This means that lighting may be an additional source of 14C in the atmosphere as reported by Libby & Lukens (JGR,1973) and Babich (GRL, 2017).





### **Summary**

The GROWTH experiment has so far observed two types of bursts; Long burst & Short burst

**M** Long burst :

 Bremsstrahlung gamma rays emitted from electrons accelerated in thunderclouds (occasionally) annihilation gamma rays, muons

#### Short burst

 Bremsstrahlung gamma rays emitted from electrons accelerated in lightning (occasionally) prompt gamma rays emitted from a de-excitation nucleus

**Photonuclear reactions are triggered by lightning** neutrons, positrons and radioactive isotopes (13N, 15O, 14C)